





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/694,425	10/23/2000	Troy Alan Ussery	OFFW01-00008	1041	
75	90 . 06/19/2003				
William A. Munck, Esq NOVAKOV DAVIS & MUNCK, P.C. 900 Three Galleria Tower			EXAMINER		
			MELWANI, DINESH		
13155 Noel Roa Dallas, TX 752			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			3677		
		٠.	DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003	}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	•	09/694,42	25	USSERY ET AL.	7
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	<u> </u>
		Dinesh N	Melwani	3677	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	lress
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any eame Status	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commits period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nunication. 0) days, a reply within the state atutory period will apply and wi will, by statute, cause the appl fter the mailing date of this con	ent, however, may a re utory minimum of thirt ill expire SIX (6) MON lication to become AB mmunication, even if t	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this core ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.
1)[Responsive to communication(s) fil				
2a)☐		2b)⊠ This action is			
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims				e merits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the	application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from co	nsideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.				•
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election re	equirement.		
	on Papers	•	•		
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) □	objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed	d on is: a)☐ a	oproved b) d	isapproved by the Examine	r.
	If approved, corrected drawings are re-	quired in reply to this Of	fice action.		
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	n received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	n received in A	oplication No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internsee the attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
	scknowledgment is made of a claim for		•		application).
а) The translation of the foreign lant Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	guage provisional ap	plication has be	een received.	, p. 122
Attachmen	t(s)				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s nformal Patent Application (PTO	
.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re		Office Action Summar	·v	Part of Paper No. 2	

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 2, line 2 contains a syntax error. The Examiner suggests deleting one of the occurrences of the word "via".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8-15, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Thompson (U.S. Patent No. 6.393,410). Thompson discloses an electronic commerce system for use over a global communications network (i.e., the internet) having company nodes (i.e., the owner of a project such as an architect or contractor) and constituency nodes (i.e., a purchaser such as a contractor or engineer) associated therewith; wherein said system comprises a date repository (col. 2, lines 40-45) that is operable to store date files associated with said company nodes, wherein said company nodes populate respective associated data files with commercial information; a communications controller (20) that is operable (i) to propagate communications interfaces accessible by said constituency nodes with selected portions of said commercial information under direction of said company nodes (col. 3, lines 29-36), and (ii)gather feedback

Art Unit: 3677

information representative of constituency response to said constituency nodes accessing said communication interfaces (col. 4, lines 20-49). As it concerns claims 2, 3, 12, and 13, Thompson's system is further operable to process said gathered feedback information (i.e., submitted bids) and, in response thereto, modify one of said data files (col. 4, lines 31-49); and to report results of the bidding process to the company node. Regarding claims 4 and 14, Thompson's controller employs mathematical representation (i.e., the fundamentals such as binary code upon which computing occurs) to represent at least one of constituency understanding and reaction (i.e., submitted bids). Thompson also discloses the use of a digitizer, see col. 3, lines 26. As it concerns claims 5 and 15, Thompson's system further comprises a security controller that is operable, with respect to those data files associated with said company node, to limit access to said those data files to designated personnel of said company nodes; i.e., the information is not made public until a supervisor accepts the information, see col. 3, lines 29-36. Additionally, Thompson also teaches the use of a user authentication system where the use must enter a log-in ID and password. As it concerns claims 8, 9, 18, and 19, Thompson's controller is also operable to store, index, and relate associated portions of said commercial information in the data repository, see the Abstract; wherein said commercial information is organized in a manner to be made available to the public or constituency nodes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3677

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 6, 7, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thompson (U.S. Patent No. 6.393,410) in view of Holzrichter *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,729,694). Thompson discloses an electronic commerce system substantially as claimed; wherein said system includes a security controller. Thompson's fails to disclose the use of interactive voice recognition to identify designated personnel. Holzrichter teaches the use of speaker identification, language-of-speech identification, and speech translation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Holzrichter, in regards to speaker identification, language-of-speech identification, and speech translation, provide Thompson's systems with the capability of being accessed by those denied use of their hands and thereby precluded from using a conventional computer terminal.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Krause (U.S. Patent No. 5,950,206), Rauber *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,549,891), Teacherson (U.S. Patent No. 6,578,010), Elliott (U.S. Patent No. 6,446,053), Lungren *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,092,050), and Satyavolu *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,517,587) substantially disclose the present invention as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinesh N Melwani whose telephone number is 703-305-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6 except every other Friday.

Art Unit: 3677

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-4115.

DNM June 14, 2003

ROBERT J. SANDY